



**EPISTEMOLOGICAL INTEGRATION OF RATIONALISM AND  
SUFISM IN ISLAMIC EDUCATION: TOWARD A HOLISTIC  
LEARNING PARADIGM**

**Harmanto Raharjo**

*UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung*  
Email: [harmantoraharjo07@gmail.com](mailto:harmantoraharjo07@gmail.com)

**Izzudin Musthafa**

*UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung*  
Email: [izzuddin@uinsgd.ac.id](mailto:izzuddin@uinsgd.ac.id)

**Isop Syafei**

*UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung*  
Email: [isop.syafei@uinsgd.ac.id](mailto:isop.syafei@uinsgd.ac.id)

**Aulia Rahmi**

*UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung*  
Email: [auliarahmi3096@gmail.com](mailto:auliarahmi3096@gmail.com)

**Afda Refani**

*Universitas Bengkulu*  
Email: [afdarefani@unib.ac.id](mailto:afdarefani@unib.ac.id)

Copyright (c) 2025 Harmanto Raharjo, Izzudin Musthafa, Isop Syafei, Aulia Rahmi,  
Afda Refani

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0  
International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



### Abstract

This study aims to analyze the relationship between rationalist and Sufi methods in the pursuit of truth and their implications for Islamic education. The discussion focuses on three aspects: (1) the epistemological characteristics of rationalist and Sufi methods, (2) the dialectical relationship between rationality and inspiration, and (3) their synthesis in holistic Islamic learning. The research applies a descriptive-analytical and comparative approach through library research, examining both classical works of Muslim scholars such as al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, al-Ghazali, and Ibn 'Arabi, and relevant contemporary literature. The findings reveal that rationalism provides logical and systematic structures, while Sufism offers spiritual depth and transcendental meaning. Their dialectical interaction produces an epistemological synthesis with implications for integrative curricula, the transformation of teachers' roles as mu'allim and murabbī, and the formation of insān kāmil—an intelligent, wise, and spiritually grounded individual

**Keywords:** Inspiration; Islamic epistemology; Rationalism; Sufism; Islamic learning

---

*Submitted : 12-12-2025 | Accepted : 20-12-2025 | Published : 31-12-2025*

---

### INTRODUCTION

The search for truth is one of the fundamental themes that has always driven the dynamics of human thought throughout history. From the pre-Socratic philosophers to classical Islamic thinkers, efforts to understand the nature of reality, existence, and the purpose of life have been the focus of attention in philosophy and science (Suhada et al., 2021). In the Western philosophical tradition, this search is characterized by efforts to rationalize and systematize knowledge, whereas in Islam, the search for truth is not only intellectual, but also spiritual, ethical, and theological (Prasetyo, 2023). Thus, Islamic epistemology has a more comprehensive dimension than the Western philosophical framework, which tends to be rationalistic.

In Islamic scholarship, two main epistemological approaches have emerged that have greatly influenced the development of thought and science, namely the rationalist ('aqlī) approach and the Sufi (dzauqī) approach. The rationalist approach emphasizes the role of reason and deductive logic as the primary means of acquiring knowledge, while the Sufi approach emphasizes inner experience, intuition, and



inspiration as the path to higher knowledge (Renaldi, 2025). These two approaches, although seemingly contradictory, actually seek to answer the same question: how can humans access the ultimate truth.

Rationalist epistemology developed rapidly through the works of Muslim philosophers such as al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, and Ibn (Munip, 2024). They proposed the idea that true knowledge must be obtained through rational reasoning that can be tested and verified logically. Using deductive methods, reason was placed as the main instrument in understanding reality and the laws that govern it. This view not only integrated the Greek philosophical tradition with Islamic teachings, but also paved the way for the advancement of science and philosophy in classical Islamic civilization (Damayanti et al., 2024).

On the contrary, Sufi epistemology highlights the limitations of reason in reaching the highest truth. Sufis, such as al-Ghazali and Ibn Arabi, emphasize that truth is not merely the result of intellectual analysis, but rather the fruit of purification of the soul, inner harmony, and direct experience of transcendent reality (*kashf* and *tajallī*) (Miftahul Ula, 2025). Within the framework of Sufi epistemology, the heart (*qalb*) is positioned as the center of knowledge consciousness, complementing the function of reason and opening access to deeper knowledge that transcends the limits of rationality (Ishaac et al., 2024).

A review of the literature shows that the relationship between rationalism and Sufism has long been a subject of debate in the intellectual history of Islam. Some studies even classify Islamic epistemology into three broad categories: *naqlī* (revelation-based), *‘aqlī* (reason-based), and *dzauqī* (inner experience-based), each with different methods, sources, and standards of knowledge validity (B. I. Putra et al., 2025). Figures such as al-Ghazali sought to combine the rational and Sufi dimensions by emphasizing that reason is an important instrument in understanding revelatory texts, but that higher knowledge can only be achieved through inner enlightenment (Renaldi, 2025).



In the contemporary context, the integration of rationality and intuition has once again become an important discourse, especially in the world of education. Recent research shows that learning methods that place too much emphasis on rational aspects often fail to touch on the affective and spiritual sides of students. Conversely, approaches that rely solely on inner experience tend to lack a sufficient rational foundation (Fatimah & Sumarni, 2024). Therefore, a learning paradigm that integrates both is needed so that it can develop critical thinking skills while fostering spiritual awareness.

However, academic studies that attempt to link these two epistemological approaches are still limited. The majority of existing studies tend to be partial and descriptive, emphasizing only one side of Islamic epistemology. Only a few studies have attempted to construct a comprehensive conceptual framework to combine the two into a coherent epistemological model (Syafaq et al., 2023). This article seeks to fill this gap by conducting an in-depth comparative analysis and developing an epistemological synthesis model that places rationality and intuition as two complementary paths of knowledge.

The scientific novelty of this research lies in two aspects. First, this article not only presents a comparison between rationalist and Sufi methods conceptually, but also analyzes the interaction between the two in the process of seeking truth and its application in learning. Second, this article proposes a new epistemological framework that integrates both into a mutually enriching system. This approach offers an important contribution to the development of contemporary Islamic epistemology to make it more relevant to the complex challenges of modern life.

Based on this background, this study focuses on three main issues: (1) how the epistemological characteristics of rationalist and Sufi methods in the search for truth; (2) how the dialectical relationship between rationality and inspiration as two complementary dimensions of knowledge; and (3) how the integration of the two can form a comprehensive epistemological synthesis model to enrich the contemporary Islamic learning paradigm. The hypothesis proposed is that the integration of



rationalist and Sufi methods can produce a more complete understanding of truth than a single approach.

### RESEARCH METHOD

This study uses a qualitative approach with a descriptive-analytical and comparative library research method. This approach was chosen because the focus of the study lies in exploring ideas and conceptual analysis of two different epistemological frameworks, namely the Sufi method based on inspiration and the rationalist method based on logic, in the search for truth. Through library research, the study is directed not only to describe the thoughts of the figures descriptively, but also to analyze the dialectical relationship between rationality and inspiration, as well as to formulate an epistemological synthesis model that is relevant to the development of contemporary Islamic learning.

The data sources for this study come from classical and contemporary literature related to Islamic epistemology, Sufism, rationalism, and education. Classical literature includes the works of Muslim philosophers such as al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, and al-Ghazali, as well as Sufi texts such as the works of Ibn Arabi, which discuss the concepts of inspiration and inner experience. The contemporary literature includes scientific articles, books, and the latest academic research that highlights the relevance of Islamic epistemology in the modern context, particularly in the realm of education and learning. All sources were selected purposefully, taking into account the author's authority, the relevance of the theme, and its contribution to the epistemological issues under study.

The data collection process was carried out through literature searches, literature selection, and document analysis. The researcher acted as the main instrument directly involved in interpreting the data, assisted by a text analysis sheet to map out the main ideas, identify theme categories, and develop a comparative



framework. This instrument enabled the researcher to perform conceptual coding, compare arguments, and interpret the meanings contained in the analyzed texts.

Data analysis was conducted in three stages. First, descriptive analysis was used to outline the basic concepts of rationality and inspiration as reflected in the major works. Second, comparative analysis was used to identify similarities and differences between Sufi and rationalist epistemology, in terms of sources of knowledge, criteria of truth, and implications for learning. Third, interpretive analysis was conducted to develop an integrative model that brings together rationality and inspiration as two complementary epistemic channels. The validity of the study was maintained through source triangulation by comparing findings from classical and contemporary literature, as well as placing the analysis in the historical and philosophical context of each figure.

With this methodological design, the research is expected to produce a comprehensive understanding of the epistemological debate between rationalism and Sufism, while offering a synthesis that can serve as a theoretical basis for the development of a more integral approach to Islamic learning.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the research findings and an in-depth analysis of the epistemological dynamics between rationalist and Sufi methods in the search for truth. The discussion is conducted argumentatively to answer the research questions formulated in the introduction, namely what are the characteristics of the two approaches, what is the dialectical relationship between rationality and inspiration, and how can the two be synthesized within the framework of holistic Islamic learning. Using a comparative-critical approach, this section not only outlines theoretical and conceptual findings, but also examines their implications for the development of an Islamic learning paradigm oriented towards the integration of intellectual intelligence and spiritual depth.



## 1. Epistemological Characteristics of Rationalist and Sufi Methods

The epistemological debate in Islamic thought reveals two major currents in the search for truth, namely rationalism and Sufism. Both are rooted in the human need to understand reality and attain al-ḥaqq (the ultimate truth), but they differ fundamentally in their paradigms, methods, and sources of knowledge (Imanpour, 2024).

Rationalist epistemology is based on the belief that reason (‘aql) is the primary epistemic instrument bestowed by God to uncover the laws of the cosmos and the metaphysical principles that govern it (Sholeh et al., 2025). In the tradition of Islamic philosophy, figures such as al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, and Ibn Rushd placed reason at the center of the search for knowledge. Al-Farabi asserted that active reason (‘aql fa‘‘āl) is the highest source of knowledge that enables humans to grasp universal reality through intellectual processes. Ibn Sina developed a theory of knowledge as the result of a multi-level abstraction, from sensory experience to pure intellectual forms (Ramadhona et al., 2024). Meanwhile, Ibn Rushd emphasized that reason and revelation are not in opposition to each other, but rather complement each other in explaining reality, where rationality functions as a means of understanding God's laws manifested in nature (Lalahwa et al., 2024).

This tradition has a certain harmony with the idea of rationalism in Western philosophy. Descartes laid rational thinking as the foundation of certainty of knowledge through the principle of cogito ergo sum (Sarkar et al., 2024). Kant then placed reason as an a priori structure that shapes experience (Chis-Ciure & Philosophy Documentation Center, 2024), while Hegel developed rational dialectics towards absolute consciousness (Geist) (Comay & Ruda, 2025)). Despite their diversity, all these views affirm a fundamental similarity: reason is positioned as the primary means of understanding reality and organizing knowledge systematically (Stern, 2022).



Conversely, Sufi epistemology departs from an awareness of the limitations of reason in reaching transcendent reality (Anbiya, 2023). The Sufi tradition emphasizes that true knowledge is not only achieved through rational processes, but also through purification of the soul (*tazkiyat al-nafs*), inner experience (*dzauq*), divine revelation (*kashf*), and direct witnessing (*mushāhadah*) of the Divine reality (Kizi, 2025). Al-Ghazali argues that rational knowledge can only lead humans to conceptual conclusions, while inspirational knowledge brings individuals to a transformative existential awareness (Husni et al., 2025). Ibn ‘Arabi goes even further by proposing the concept of *ma‘rifah*, which is intuitive knowledge that comes from divine enlightenment and cannot be achieved through logical propositions alone (2023, ربي & وكيلى).

A comparison between these two approaches reveals the existence of two distinct epistemological horizons, which are in fact complementary. Rationalism offers conceptual structures, systematic arguments, and intersubjective validity, which are essential for the development of science. On the other hand, Sufism provides existential depth, meaning orientation, and a dimension of self-transformation that cannot be reached by rationality alone. Thus, the differences between the two need not be understood as a rigid dichotomy, but rather as two parallel paths that can coexist in guiding humans toward truth: reason as a logical guide and spiritual intuition as a guide to meaning.

## 2. The Dialectical Relationship between Rationality and Inspiration

One important finding in the study of Islamic epistemology is that the relationship between rationality and inspiration is not oppositional or dichotomous, but rather dialectical and complementary (Alkadzim, 2023). In the intellectual history of Islam, the two are often viewed as two different paths in the search for truth: reason serves to construct a rational and logical structure of knowledge, while inspiration is understood as a source of transcendental depth of meaning (Renaldi, 2025). However, a more in-depth study shows that



rationality and inspiration are not two separate domains, but two dimensions of a single epistemological whole (Hasib & Khasanah, 2025).

Al-Ghazali in *al-Munqidz min al-Dhalal* asserts that reason has the capacity to bring humans to a certain level of knowledge, but it is no longer sufficient when faced with the higher reality (Hasib & Khasanah, 2025). At this point, the concept of *kashf* (inner revelation) becomes crucial because it unveils the reality that cannot be reached by reason alone (Hasib et al., 2024). Thus, according to al-Ghazali, rationality and inspiration are not mutually exclusive paths, but complementary ones: reason prepares the epistemological foundation, while inspiration perfects it through direct spiritual experience (Husni et al., 2025).

Suhrawardi's thinking in *Hikmah al-Isyrāq* enriches this dialectic by using the metaphor of "reason as a mirror" that can only reflect the light of knowledge when cleansed of the fog of lust. In this framework, inspiration is positioned as the light that illuminates the mirror of reason, so that rationality functions not only logically, but also intuitively and contemplatively (Siti Mursalina et al., 2025). Ibn 'Arabi even asserts that reason enlightened by inspiration is able to transcend its limitations and become an instrument for understanding *ḥaqīqat al-ḥaqā'iq* (the essence of all essences). According to him, rationality without the guidance of inspiration remains trapped in the phenomenal realm, while inspiration without rationality easily slips into unverified subjectivity (Kizi, 2025).

A similar dialectic also appears in modern Western philosophy. Immanuel Kant distinguished between phenomenon and noumenon, emphasizing that rationality can only reach empirical phenomena, while noumenal reality cannot be accessed by reason alone (Browning, 2023). Within this framework, intuition is seen as a bridge that allows humans to touch dimensions of reality that transcend reason (Palermo & Lerussi, 2021). Hegel then continued this idea through his theory of dialectics, in which thesis (rationality) and antithesis



(intuition or inner experience) merge into a higher synthesis of knowledge (Molloy, 2024). Although the contexts are different, both philosophers show that the integration of rationality and intuition is a necessity in the development of knowledge (Browning, 2023).

In Islamic epistemology, the dialectical relationship between rationality and inspiration has a deeper meaning. Reason functions as an instrument for understanding *āyāt kauniyah* (signs of God in nature), while inspiration allows humans to delve into *āyāt nafsiah* (signs of God in the soul) (Mardatillah. B et al., 2024). Rationality provides a coherent conceptual structure, while inspiration presents a transcendental orientation of meaning. Without rationality, knowledge risks being trapped in untested spiritual experiences; conversely, without inspiration, knowledge becomes dry, reductionist, and loses its ethical-spiritual dimension (Assya'bani & Falach, 2022).

In the context of Islamic learning, this dialectic has significant implications. Learning that emphasizes only rationality tends to produce students who are intellectually superior but lack meaning and moral sensitivity moral (Fatimah & Sumarni, 2024). Conversely, learning that relies solely on spiritual experience has the potential to neglect critical thinking skills that are urgently needed in the modern era (Karmani & Gozali, 2025). Therefore, Islamic education needs to integrate both in a balanced manner: providing space for the development of critical, analytical, and argumentative thinking through reason, while also fostering inner sensitivity, empathy, and transcendental awareness through spiritual experiences (Susanto et al., 2024).

In this way, Islamic education not only produces individuals who are intellectually intelligent, but also spiritually wise. Such individuals are expected to be able to bridge the demands of modern rationality with Islamic spiritual values, while offering relevant epistemological solutions to the complex challenges of the contemporary era (Susanto et al., 2024).



### 3. Epistemological Synthesis and Its Implications for Islamic Learning

Literature analysis and critical studies show that rationalist and Sufi approaches in Islamic epistemology can not only engage in dialogue, but can also be synthesized into a new epistemological framework that is more comprehensive and relevant to contemporary challenges (B. I. Putra et al., 2025). This synthesis is not merely a methodological compromise, but rather a reconstruction of the knowledge paradigm that acknowledges the strengths and limitations of each approach. Within this framework, reason functions as an analytical instrument that structures knowledge, while inspiration acts as a meaning-giver that directs knowledge towards a transcendental goal, namely *ma'rifatullah*—knowledge that leads humans to a true understanding of God (Yusuf et al., 2019).

Such integrative efforts have strong roots in Islamic intellectual tradition. Al-Ghazali, for example, consciously combined philosophical, theological, and Sufi approaches in his epistemological framework. He used rational arguments to criticize the *Batiniah* group and philosophers, but at the same time acknowledged the role of *kashf* as the highest path to obtaining truth (Husni et al., 2025). Ibn Sina also attempted to harmonize Peripatetic rationalism with intellectual illumination (Ramadhona et al., 2024), while Mulla Sadra, through his philosophy of *al-hikmah al-muta'aliyah*, succeeded in integrating rationalism, intuitionism, and theology into a comprehensive epistemological system (Hadi, 2024).

In Western thought, the idea of epistemological synthesis can also be found. Hegel, for example, through his dialectical theory, asserts that knowledge develops through a process of negation and synthesis between reason (thesis) and intuition or inner experience (antithesis), until a higher level of knowledge is achieved. This approach shows that integration is not a mechanical combination of two methods, but rather the creation of a new conceptual framework that is more coherent and dynamic (Molloy, 2024).



In the context of Islamic learning, the idea of epistemological synthesis has significant implications. First, it requires a paradigm shift in understanding the learning process. Learning is not merely understood as the transfer of rational knowledge, but as a process of *tahqīq al-ma'rifah* – the realization of knowledge that brings about changes in the intellectual, emotional, and spiritual dimensions of learners. Thus, learning can be positioned as a means of forming a complete human being (*insān kāmil*) who not only thinks critically but also has moral and spiritual awareness (Fatimah & Sumarni, 2024).

Second, epistemological synthesis can be the basis for developing an integrative Islamic learning curriculum. The curriculum not only emphasizes rational aspects such as logic, philosophy, and normative Islamic sciences, but also incorporates inner experiences such as self-reflection, spiritual meditation (*muraqabah*), and *dzikir* practices as learning strategies. Thus, this approach integrates cognitive, affective, and psychomotor aspects in a balanced manner (Rifai et al., 2023).

Third, the implications of epistemological synthesis are evident in the paradigm shift in the role of educators. Teachers or lecturers are no longer merely positioned as conveyors of knowledge (*mu'allim*), but also as spiritual guides (*murabbī*) who help students navigate between rationality and inner experience. Through this dual role, students are not only encouraged to think critically and analytically, but are also trained to internalize the transcendental values that shape character and personality (Yudistira et al., 2025)..

Furthermore, epistemological synthesis opens up opportunities for the development of a contemporary Islamic epistemology that is capable of dialoguing with modern scientific paradigms (Aziz, 2022). The modern world, which tends to be dominated by positivistic and rationalistic approaches, often fails to respond to the crises of meaning, morality, and spirituality experienced by humans (Haikal et al., 2024). The integration of rationality and inspiration can be an epistemological alternative to fill this void, because its orientation is not



only on knowing, but also on being (Susanto et al., 2024). Thus, knowledge does not stop at the cognitive level, but becomes a transformative force that shapes human identity and life orientation (Abidin, 2017).

## CONCLUSION

This study confirms that rationalism and Sufism are two different yet complementary epistemological approaches in the search for truth. Rationalism serves to build logical and systematic structures, while Sufism provides depth of meaning through spiritual experience. The dialectic between the two produces an epistemological synthesis that is relevant to Islamic learning. This synthesis emphasizes the integration of intellectual and spiritual intelligence, critical thinking and moral awareness, as well as knowing and being. In the context of education, this paradigm has implications for integrative curricula, the transformation of the role of teachers as mu'allim and murabbī, and the formation of kāmīl individuals who are intelligent, wise, and transcendental in character. Thus, Islamic learning can serve as a means of intellectual enlightenment as well as spiritual transformation.

## REFERENCES

- Abidin, M. Z. (2017). Islam dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Dalam Diskursus Muslim Kontemporer. *Ulumuna*, 10(2), 391–410. <https://doi.org/10.20414/ujs.v10i2.483>
- Alkadzim, M. (2023). THE ONTOLOGICAL CAPTURE OF REASON AND REVELATION: TOWARD A SYNTHESIZING APPROACH OF IBN 'ARABĪ AND MULLĀ ṢADRĀ. *Kanz Philosophia: A Journal for Islamic Philosophy and Mysticism*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.20871/kpjipm.v9i2.272>
- Alkhadafi, R. (2024). Epistemologi Filsafat Islam. *JMPI: Jurnal Manajemen, Pendidikan dan Pemikiran Islam*, 2(1), 34–41. <https://doi.org/10.71305/jmpi.v2i1.48>
- Anbiya, A. Z. (2023). Tazkiyatun Nafs dalam Mengembalikan Fitrah Manusia Modern. *Islamic Counseling: Jurnal Bimbingan Konseling Islam*, 7(1), 133–148. <https://doi.org/10.29240/jbk.v7i1.5130>



- Assya'bani, R., & Falach, G. (2022). The Philosophy of Illumination: Esotericism in Shihāb ad-Dīn Suhrawardī's Sufism. *ESENSIA: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Ushuluddin*, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.14421/esensia.v22i2.2398>
- Atmaja, L., & Mustopa, R. R. B. C. (2020). Metaphysics in the Epistemology: A Critical Analysis of Islamic and Western Philosophical tradition. *Afkaruna*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.18196/AIJIS.2020.0111.22-39>
- Aziz, H. (2022). Epistemology of the Integration of Religion and Science Qur'anic Perspective. *Tribakti: Jurnal Pemikiran Keislaman*, 33(2), 239–264. <https://doi.org/10.33367/tribakti.v33i2.2833>
- Browning, J. (2023). Kant and the determinacy of intuition. *European Journal of Philosophy*, 31(1), 65–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejop.12764>
- Chis-Ciure, R. & Philosophy Documentation Center. (2024). Kant's A Priori: Between Necessity and Constitutivity. *Philosophica: International Journal for the History of Philosophy*, 32(1), 19–40. <https://doi.org/10.5840/philosophica202512220>
- Comay, R., & Ruda, F. (2025). On Gaps. Is There a Politics of Absolute Knowing? *Hegel Bulletin*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1017/hgl.2025.8>
- Damayanti, W., Sutarto, S., Sari, D. P., & Nasution, A. R. (2024). Neurosains dalam Pemikiran Tokoh Al-Ghazali, Al-Farabi Dan Ibnu Sina. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Multidisipliner Research*, 2(3), 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.31004/ijim.v2i3.86>
- Fatimah, S., & Sumarni, S. (2024). A HOLISTIC APPROACH TO ISLAMIC BASIC EDUCATION: SYNTHESIZING THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' POTENTIAL FROM INTELLECTUAL, SPIRITUAL AND EMOTIONAL ASPECTS. *PIONIR: JURNAL PENDIDIKAN*, 13(2), 106. <https://doi.org/10.22373/pjp.v13i2.24259>
- Hadi, R. T. (2024). Aliran al-Hikmah Muta'aliyah: Kajian Komprehensif Teosofi Transenden Mulla Sadra. *JURNAL AL-AQIDAH*, 16(1), 33–53. <https://doi.org/10.15548/ja.v16i1.10383>
- Haikal, M. F., Alawiyah, R., & Parhan, M. (2024). Tantangan dan Peluang Positivisme dan Kritisisme dalam Pendidikan Islam. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Indonesia (JPPI)*, 4(4), 1418–1428. <https://doi.org/10.53299/jppi.v4i4.774>
- Hamzah, H., Achmad Khudori Soleh, & Azmi Putri Ayu Wardani. (2024). Islamic Rationalism: Between Tradition and Controversy. *JURNAL PENELITIAN KEISLAMAN*, 20(1), 94–108. <https://doi.org/10.20414/jpk.v20i1.10347>
- Hasib, K., & Khasanah, N. U. (2025). The Integration of Rationality and Spirituality: Imam Al-Ghazali's Experience through Ta'lim Rabbani Approach in Acquiring Knowledge. *Afkaruna: Indonesian Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Studies*, 21(1), 65–83. <https://doi.org/10.18196/afkaruna.v21i1.25377>



- Hasib, K., Zarkasyi, A. F., & Muslih, M. K. (2024). Intuition According to Imam al-Ghazali and It's Role as Methods of Acquiring Knowledge. *KALAM*, 18(1), 81-106. <https://doi.org/10.24042/002024181880100>
- Herni, Z., Junaidi, & Suharyanto, E. H. P. (2021). SUFISTIC APPROACH IN ISLAMIC EDUCATION. *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 11(2), 48-60. <https://doi.org/10.38073/jpi.v11i2.618>
- Husni, A. S., Bahar, Moh. S., & Harnadi, D. (2025). Purifying the Heart, Unveiling the Truth: Understanding Al-Ghazālī's Epistemology. *Journal of Pesantren and Diniyah Studies*, 2(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.63245/jpds.v2i1.32>
- Imanpour, M. (2024). Analysis of the Basis and Arguments of the Theory of "Active Intellect" in Islamic Philosophy. *Journal of Philosophical Investigations, Online First*. <https://doi.org/10.22034/jpiut.2024.61967.3776>
- Ishaac, M., Madani, M. H., Fikrianoor, A., Mahmudah, M., & Sarwani, A. (2024). The Nature of Resources (Jism, Nafs, Aql, Qalb, and Ruh) in the Development of Islamic Education in the Independent Curriculum. *Edumaspul: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 8(1), 2579-2596. <https://doi.org/10.33487/edumaspul.v8i1.8303>
- Karmani, M. A., & Gozali, A. (2025). The Influence of Structured Debate Method on Students' Critical Thinking Skills and Understanding of Islamic Creed in the Study of Ahlusunnah wal Jamaah. *FONDATIA*, 9(3), 516-530. <https://doi.org/10.36088/fondatia.v9i3.5761>
- Kholis, N. (2017). RASIONALISME ISLAM KLASIK DALAM PEMIKIRAN IBNU RUSYD. *International Journal Ihya' 'Ulum al-Din*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.21580/ihya.19.2.2160>
- Kizi, Y. (2025). Ibn Arabi's Epistemological Views In The Context of Sufism and Islamic Philosophy. *Buletin Antropologi Indonesia*, 2(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.47134/bai.v2i1.3616>
- Lalahwa, I. F., Gunawan, A., & Muhajir, M. (2024). The Relationship between Religion and Reason in the Context of Islamic Education Philosophy from the Perspective of Ibn Rushd and Ibn Taimiyah. *Al-Jadwa: Jurnal Studi Islam*, 3(2), 111-119. <https://doi.org/10.38073/aljadwa.v3i2.1432>
- Mardatillah, B. A., Dewi, E., & Anwar, K. (2024). Ayat-Ayat Kauniyah dan Qur'aniyah dalam Perspektif Epistemologi Ilmu. *LANCAH: Jurnal Inovasi dan Tren*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.35870/ljit.v3i1.3511>
- Miftahul Ula. (2025). The Epistemology of Knowledge in Classical Sufism; A Study of Al-Muḥāsibī's Thought (781 AD- 857 AD). *Millati: Journal of Islamic Studies and Humanities*, 10(1), 126-146. <https://doi.org/10.18326/millati.v10i1.3185>
- Molloy, S. (2024). Hegel's First Attempt to Re-Philosophize Natural Law: Undistorted Intuition, Dead Laws and Ethical Life in 'On the Scientific Ways of Treating Natural Law.' *Hegel Bulletin*, 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1017/hgl.2024.51>



- Munip, A. (2024). Ilmu dalam Tinjauan Filsafat: Ontologi, Epistemologi, dan Aksiologi. *Al-Aulia: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Ilmu-Ilmu Keislaman*, 10(1), 49–58. <https://doi.org/10.46963/aulia.v10i1.1875>
- Nur Laini, H. U., & Soleh, A. K. (2024). Ajaran Isyrāqi: Studi Kritis-Epistemologis Filsafat Iluminasi Suhrawardi. *Kalimah: Jurnal Studi Agama dan Pemikiran Islam*, 22(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.21111/klm.v22i1.12274>
- Palermo, S. V., & Lerussi, N. (2021). Elevating the Determinations of Thought Above this Anxious, Incomplete Standpoint: On Kant's Concept of an Intuitive Understanding and its Articulation in Hegel's Objective Thought. *Comparative and Continental Philosophy*, 13(1), 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17570638.2021.1910373>
- Prasetyo, B. (2023). PERBANDINGAN ANTARA MARTIN HEIDEGGER DAN NADIM AL-JISR DALAM EPISTEMOLOGI: SEBUAH STUDI FILSAFAT ILMU. *RADIX: Jurnal Filsafat dan Agama*, 1(02), 75–80. <https://doi.org/10.69957/radix.v1i02.2117>
- Putra, A. E., Muslimin, & Bagaskara, A. (2025). Synergizing Religious and Modern Reason: Epistemological Study of Santri Education in the Framework of Al-Jabiri's Thought. *International Journal of Nusantara Islam*, 13(1), 181–190. <https://doi.org/10.15575/ijni.v13i1.45737>
- Putra, B. I., Husni, H., & Wahyuni, S. (2025). Epistemological Differences in Islam: Traditional, Rational, and Sufistic Approaches. *Journal Research of Social Science, Economics, and Management*, 4(12), 2393–2401. <https://doi.org/10.59141/jrssem.v4i12.943>
- Ramadhona, R., Sukardi, I., & As, M. (2024a). The Philosophy of Greek Rationalism - Islamic Spirituality and Classical Islamic Education Theory: Al-Farabi and Ibnu Sina. *TOFEDU: The Future of Education Journal*, 3(5), 1517–1525. <https://doi.org/10.61445/tofedu.v3i5.273>
- Ramadhona, R., Sukardi, I., & As, M. (2024). The Philosophy of Greek Rationalism - Islamic Spirituality and Classical Islamic Education Theory: Al-Farabi and Ibnu Sina. *TOFEDU: The Future of Education Journal*, 3(5), 1517–1525. <https://doi.org/10.61445/tofedu.v3i5.273>
- Renaldi, A. (2025). Al-Ghazali's Intuitive Epistemology: A Critique of Western Epistemology. *Indonesian Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Science and Technology*, 3(6), 675–694. <https://doi.org/10.55927/marcopolo.v3i6.78>
- Rifai, A., Manshur, U., & Sayuri, S. (2023). Synergizing Science and Spirituality: Crafting an Integrated Curriculum to Elevate Spiritual Intelligence in Madrasah Education. *Indonesian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 2(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.33650/ijess.v2i1.7111>



- Sabana, R., & Siregar, M. (2025). RELIGIOUS-RATIONAL INTEGRATION IN ISLAMIC EDUCATION: A STUDY OF THE THOUGHTS OF H.M. ARIFIN. *Jurnal Eduslamic*, 2(2), 107–120. <https://doi.org/10.59548/jed.v2i2.329>
- Sarkar, Dr. A., Jain, Dr. N., Imran, Mr. A., Rajagopal, Dr. S., & Chowdhury, Prof. A. (2024). Descartes' "Cogito Ergo Sum": The Revolution and Critique of Rationalism. *Library Progress International*. <https://doi.org/10.53555/lpi.v44i3.2905>
- Sholeh, M. I., Mohamed, M. R. A. A., Ali, H., & Ho, P. V. P. (2025). The Relevance of Educational Thoughts by Al-Kindi, Al-Farabi, and Ibn Sina in Contemporary Context. *International Journal of Indonesian Philosophy & Theology*, 6(1), 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.47043/ijiph.v6i1.82>
- Siti Mursalina, Fetti Nur Fatimah, Ilham Mubarak, & Dyah Qotrunnada. (2025). Inner Experience and Illumination in Suhrawardi's Philosophical Thought. *Al-Falsafah: Jurnal Pemikiran dan Filsafat Islam*, 1(1), 21–31. <https://doi.org/10.61166/falsafah.v1i1.3>
- Stern, R. (2022). Explaining Synthetic A Priori Knowledge: The Achilles Heel of Transcendental Idealism? *Kantian Review*, 27(3), 385–404. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1369415422000115>
- Suhada, D., Fatah Natsir, N., & Haryanti, E. (2021). Epistemologi Islam Klasik dan Kontemporer. *JiIP - Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan*, 4(8), 948–957. <https://doi.org/10.54371/jiip.v4i8.360>
- Susanto, N. H., Rahayani, Y., & Muasomah, M. (2024). Integrating Rationality and Mysticism in Religious Education: An Educational Perspective on Islamic and Indigenous Java-Sundanese Traditions in Indonesia. *EDUKASI: Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Agama dan Keagamaan*, 22(2), 184–194. <https://doi.org/10.32729/edukasi.v22i2.1472>
- Syafaq, H., Hilmy, M., Musyafaah, N. L., & Ali, M. R. A. A. (2023). Reconstructing Islamic Epistemology: Bridging Metaphysics, Reason, and Revelation. *Teosofi: Jurnal Tasawuf dan Pemikiran Islam*, 14(2), 240–269. <https://doi.org/10.15642/teosofi.2024.14.2.240-269>
- Wahyudi, D., & Yunus, F. M. (2024). Al-Suhrawardi's Knowledge Construction: A Philosophical Analysis of Illumination, Light, and Isyraqiyah Wisdom. *Jurnal Pemikiran Islam*, 4(1), 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.22373/jpi.v4i1.23293>
- Yudistira, S., Andriya, M., & Afandi, M. (2025). Peran Guru Sebagai Murabbi Dalam Perspektif Islam. *EDU-RILIGIA: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Islam dan Keagamaan*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.47006/er.v9i1.22528>
- Yuhanida, Y., Amalia, Z. N., Kerwanto, K., Rahman, R., Muna, M. Y., & Sabour, R. (2024). EPISTEMOLOGY OF SUFISM IN SUFI INTERPRETATION (ANALYSIS OF THE PATTERNS OF THEORETICAL (NAZHARI) AND PRACTICAL



('AMALI) SUFI EXEGESIS). *QiST: Journal of Quran and Tafseer Studies*, 3(2), 216-233. <https://doi.org/10.23917/qist.v3i2.4199>

Yusuf, H., Luthfan, M. A., & Baharudin, M. (2019). Integrative-Multidimensional Science Paradigm: A Perspective of Islamic Epistemology. *Journal of Islamic Studies and Humanities*, 4(1), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.21580/jish.41.4181>

ربي, ع. و وكيلى, ه. (2023). The truth of intuition and its role in acquiring knowledge from the point of view of Ibn Arabi and Imam Khomeini. 6. *مطالعات ادبيان و عرفان تطبيقي*. <https://doi.org/10.22111/jrm.2023.7535>

